

Remarks/Arguments:

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is objected-to for failing to further limit the subject matter of claim 6. In response, claim 21 has now been amended to depend from claim 1.

Section 102 Rejections/Section 103 Rejections

Claim 1 has been rejected as being obvious in view of Yeom and Nishiyama. In addition, claim 1 has been rejected as being obvious in view of Amano, Wicks, and Oda. Applicants respectfully submit that these rejections are overcome for the reasons set forth below.

Amended claim 1 now includes features which are not suggested by the cited references, namely:

- display part for displaying an image, **the display part including a projection micro-display.**
- **control of the cursor using the pointing device is only performed by the same hand that holds the main body.**

Basis for the projection micro-display may be seen, for example, in Fig. 1(a) showing main screen display part 120, including display surface 121, which is a projection micro-display. As discussed in the specification, for example, at page 15, lines 13-21, "the projection micro-display is constructed using a small display in combination with an optical system and produces a virtual image for viewing at a spaced distance from the display, thereby achieving the large-screen, high-resolution, virtual image display. With this technology, the **display as small as about 1 cm square in size** can present, when mounted in position, as stated above, a high-resolution image equivalent..."

Basis for control of the cursor using the pointing device, **only performed by the same hand that holds the main body** may be seen, for example, in Fig. 2 and as described in the

specification, for example, at page 17, lines 13-17. As shown in Fig. 2, the user can control display 120 with pointing device 115, while he holds the main body of the portable telephone with one hand, and controls the cursor on display 120 with one finger of the same hand.

Yeom discloses a system providing remote control device 200 operable both as a cordless telephone and as a computer system having a wireless mouse. Remote control device 200, when operable as a cordless telephone, does not have any display. As admitted by the Office Action, at the first paragraph of page 13, Yeom does **not teach a display part for displaying an image**. Furthermore, Yeom shows a keypad 24 which is operable by a hand that is different from another hand that holds the cordless telephone. Yeom, therefore, does not disclose the features included in amended claim 1, namely, the display part having a **projection micro-display**, and control of the cursor on the display with a pointing device **using only the same hand that also holds the main body**.

Nishiyama discloses display section 2 having speaker 6. Nishiyama shows a cursor on display 2, which is controlled by a thumb of one hand. Nishiyama, however, does **not** disclose or suggest a **display that includes a projection micro-display**.

Amano discloses a portable communications terminal that includes display screen 13 for displaying an image and a main body having pointing device 1 for controlling a cursor displayed on the screen. As shown in Fig. 13 of Amano, a user holds communications terminal 60 with one hand and controls cursor 30 holding mouse 1 with another hand. Amano, therefore, does **not** teach or suggest controlling a cursor with **only the same hand that also holds the main body of the telephone**. In addition, Amano does **not** disclose or suggest a display part including a **projection micro-display**.

Wicks discloses a virtual dialer that allows a wireless telephone unit to dispense with a numeric keypad. The virtual dialer includes a short joy stick and an image of a telephone numeric keypad displayed on a display. The joy stick is used to move a cursor around the image of the numeric keypad. Wicks, however, does **not** disclose a display that includes a **projection micro-display**. In addition, Wicks does **not** disclose operating a cursor using a pointing device with **only the same hand that also holds the main body**.

Oda discloses a cellular telephone that has a slim shape including operation keys. Oda discloses holding the cellular telephone with one hand and operating the keypad with the other hand (column 1, lines 61-67). Oda, therefore, does **not** disclose a cellular telephone in which a cursor can be controlled by a pointing device by **using the same hand that holds the main body** of the cellular telephone. In addition, Oda does **not** disclose a projection micro-display.

Since neither of the aforementioned references discloses or suggests the features included in amended claim 1, amended claim 1 is now not subject to rejection in view of cited references. Reconsideration of claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Although not the same, claims 6, 14 and 26 have also been amended to include features similar to amended claim 1. These claims are therefore not subject to rejection in view of the cited references for the same reasons set forth for amended claim 1. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested for amended claims 6, 14 and 26.

Appln: No. 09/824,397
Amendment Dated: August 25, 2003
Reply to Office Action of April 25, 2003


MTS-3247US

Conclusion

Claims 1-35 are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

RatnerPrestia



Allan Ratner, Reg. No. 19,717
Jack J. Jankovitz, Reg. No. 42,690
Attorneys for Applicant

JJJ/kc/ds


Dated: August 25, 2003

P.O. Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980
(610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby
authorized to charge payment to Deposit
Account No. **18-0350** of any fees associated
with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
deposited with the United States Postal Service as first
class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope
addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

August 25, 2003



DS_I:\MTS\3247US\AMEND_01.DOC